

የኢትዮጵያ የግብርና ምርምር ኢንስቲትዩት Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR)



## Costing of the EIAR Maize Breeding Program: Procedures and implications

May 2021

Lealem Tilahun







## Introducion – MERCI

- Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR) received a 4-year-investment from Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation in 2016.
- The investment is called Modernizing EIAR Research in Crop Improvement (MERCI).
- This project aims to modernize the national sorghum, maize, wheat, chick pea and common bean breeding programs of EIAR in order to increase rates of genetic gain.
- University of Queensland (UQ) was chosen as EIAR's consultant



BILL& MELINDA GATES foundation









- Maize research in EIAR is agro-ecology based:
  - Mid-altitude high-potential (Bako)
  - Highland (Ambo)
  - Dryland and irrigated (Melkassa)



Figure 1. Agro-ecological zones of Ethiopia.

Melkassa maize breeding (DIME) program has four product concepts

- PC3 = developing **early** maturing maize **hybrids**
- PC4 = developing **early** maturing maize **OPVs**
- PC5 = developing intermediate maturing maize hybrids
- PC6 = developing intermediate maturing maize OPVs



Introduction – Pipelines

DIME breeding program

PC5 (Intermediate hybrid) pipeline





- No knowledge about the cost of an activity
- No knowledge about the cost of a product
- No metrics (genetic gain) relative to \$ or birr spent
- Budgets requested based on mere guess
- Budget allocated to different testing sites (~10) based on mere guess
- No tool to help calculate costs



የኢትዮጵያ የግብርና ምርምር ኢንስቲትዩት Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR)



# How did we generate costings for our product pipelines?

**Breeding Costing Tool** 

# Developing scalable financial model of the breeding pipeline



Doc ID



Scalable experiment dimensions in order to understand the cost impact of changes to the pipeline









- We sat down with UQ team for 2 day
  - Workshop on calculating unit costs
  - Hands-on training of researchers on the costing tool software
- Champions from the three maize breeding team sat down together for 3 days
  - Listed the project components
  - Agreed on experimental dimensions
  - Standardized the unit costs
- Each champion worked with their team to cost all pipelines for 2 3 days







Doc ID



11









## **Set-up: Experiment dimensions**



They specify measurements of

- an experiment (trial/nursery)
   structurally or
- **the size of tasks** in the experiment

Eg. To calculate the cost of fuel, we measure it by the distance from the main station to experimental site. Therefore, **distance to site** is our dimension.

Dimension	Unit	Comment
Entries	Number	number of test entries without checks
Replicates	Number	number of replicates
Samples	Number	number of samples / plots
Plot length	m	Length of plot in meters (including alleys)
Rows per plot	Number	Number of rows per plot
Row spacing	m	Space between rows in meters
Buffer percentage	Percent	Land area buffer percentage
Land area	m2	Total area of land required for trial
Row length	m	Length of planting rows in meters (without alleys)
Within row spacing	m	Space between planting stations in meters
Number of crosses	Number	Number of breeding crosses to be made
Number of pollinations	Number	Number of hand pollinations to be made to form breeding crosses/hybrids
Distance to site	km	Distance of testing site from MARC (round trip)
Number of researcher days	Day	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person
Number of researcher days Number of plates	Day Number	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person Number of plates for genotyping
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer	Day Number Number	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person Number of plates for genotyping Total area excluding buffer percentage
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer Number of parents	Day Number Number Number	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person Number of plates for genotyping Total area excluding buffer percentage Total number of parents
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer Number of parents Number of pollinations for	Day Number Number Number Number	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person         Number of plates for genotyping         Total area excluding buffer percentage         Total number of parents         Number of plants to be selfed to advance F4 to F5
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer Number of parents Number of pollinations for Number of support staff d	Day Number Number Number Number Day	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person         Number of plates for genotyping         Total area excluding buffer percentage         Total number of parents         Number of plants to be selfed to advance F4 to F5         Number of days support staff stays in a site/trial/person
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer Number of parents Number of pollinations for Number of support staff d Total number of rows	Day Number Number Number Day Number	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person         Number of plates for genotyping         Total area excluding buffer percentage         Total number of parents         Number of plants to be selfed to advance F4 to F5         Number of days support staff stays in a site/trial/person         Number of total rows
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer Number of parents Number of pollinations for Number of support staff d Total number of rows Number of driver days	Day Number Number Number Day Number Day	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person         Number of plates for genotyping         Total area excluding buffer percentage         Total number of parents         Number of plants to be selfed to advance F4 to F5         Number of days support staff stays in a site/trial/person         Number of total rows         Number of days a driver stays in a site/trial/person
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer Number of parents Number of pollinations for Number of support staff d Total number of rows Number of driver days Number of 96-well plates	Day Number Number Number Day Number Day Number	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person         Number of plates for genotyping         Total area excluding buffer percentage         Total number of parents         Number of plants to be selfed to advance F4 to F5         Number of days support staff stays in a site/trial/person         Number of total rows         Number of days a driver stays in a site/trial/person         Number of 96-well plates to be genotyped
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer Number of parents Number of pollinations for Number of support staff d Total number of rows Number of driver days Number of 96-well plates Number of samples to be	Day Number Number Number Day Number Day Number Day Number Number Number Number	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person         Number of plates for genotyping         Total area excluding buffer percentage         Total number of parents         Number of plants to be selfed to advance F4 to F5         Number of days support staff stays in a site/trial/person         Number of total rows         Number of 96-well plates to be genotyped         Number of samples to be genotyped
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer Number of parents Number of pollinations for Number of support staff d Total number of rows Number of driver days Number of 96-well plates Number of samples to be Number of checks	Day Number Number Number Day Number Day Number Day Number Number Number Number Number	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person         Number of plates for genotyping         Total area excluding buffer percentage         Total number of parents         Number of plants to be selfed to advance F4 to F5         Number of days support staff stays in a site/trial/person         Number of total rows         Number of days a driver stays in a site/trial/person         Number of 96-well plates to be genotyped         Number of checks in the trial
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer Number of parents Number of pollinations for Number of support staff d Total number of rows Number of driver days Number of 96-well plates Number of samples to be Number of checks Number of testers	Day Number Number Number Day Number Day Number Number Number Number	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person         Number of plates for genotyping         Total area excluding buffer percentage         Total number of parents         Number of plants to be selfed to advance F4 to F5         Number of days support staff stays in a site/trial/person         Number of total rows         Number of days a driver stays in a site/trial/person         Number of 96-well plates to be genotyped         Number of samples to be genotyped         Number of checks in the trial         Number of testers for test cross formation
Number of researcher days Number of plates Land area without buffer Number of parents Number of pollinations for Number of support staff d Total number of rows Number of driver days Number of driver days Number of 96-well plates Number of samples to be Number of checks Number of testers Number of plant	Day Number Number Number Day Number Day Number Day Number Number Number Number Number Number Number Number Number	Number of days researchers stay in a site/trial/person         Number of plates for genotyping         Total area excluding buffer percentage         Total number of parents         Number of plants to be selfed to advance F4 to F5         Number of days support staff stays in a site/trial/person         Number of total rows         Number of days a driver stays in a site/trial/person         Number of 96-well plates to be genotyped         Number of samples to be genotyped         Number of testers for test cross formation         Number of plants (100 innoculum level)

#### Default dimensions

Doc ID

# User generated/added dimensions

# Set-up: Item unit cost



Item Unit Cost	Location	Value	Experiment dimension	Unit	Comment
Fertilizer NPS per m2	All Locations	0.17	Land area	Birr	ETB 1700/100 kg with 100 kg/ha
Fertilizer Urea per m2	All Locations	0.15	Land area	Birr	ETB 1500/100 kg with 100 kg/ha
Pollen bag per pollination	All Locations	3.00	Number of po	Birr	Imported from Kenya; KSH 90000/10000 pcs; Currency ETB 1 = KSH 3
Shoot bag (Polyethylene bag)	All Locations	0.27	Number of po	Birr	ETB 134/kg; 500 shoot bags/kg
Paper seed packet for seed prep CE 5 101218 (73 mm x 133.4 mm) per plot	All Locations	3.00	Samples -	Birr	Imported from Australia; \$42.95 price + \$21.27 transport per 1000 pcs (\$7390/347.5 packs transp
Sticky label Formtec GS-1424 per plot	Allo Eg. Bl	endeo	d fertilize	r (NPS)	
Colored hard paper per plot	All Lo	400			10 000 <sup>2</sup>
Cloth bag per plot	All Lo	100	kg need	ed for 1 ha	$a = 10,000m^2$
Land preparation @ MK with own tractor per m2	Melka 🛛 🔸	Exp	erimenta	l dimensio	on = Land area in $m^2$
Land preparation with tractor rental per m2	All Lo			: f 1	27
Average per diem for a researcher	All Lo	HOV	v much b	Irr for 1m	- ·
Average accomodation for a researcher	All Lo •	100	kg costs	ETB 1700	
Average per diem for a support staff	All Lo	The	roforo E		$0.000m^2 - ETP 0.17 nor m^2$
Average accomodation for a support staff	All Lo	me	reiore, c		.0,000m <sup>2</sup> – EIB 0.17 per m <sup>2</sup>
Fuel and service cost for vehicle per km to travel to site	All Locations	5.33	Distance to site	Birr	A vehicle travels 6 km/litre; price of 1litre gasoline = ETB 20; Service cost ETB 10000/5000 km
Chemical application per m2	All Locations	0.25	Land area	Birr	ETB 2500/ha
Paper clips per pollination	Melkasa	0.20	Number of po	Birr	ETB 200/pack
Torch per m2	All Locations	0.01	Land area	Birr	ETB 55/piece and 2 torches per ha for 5 months
Pollen bag for selfing of F4 rows	Melkasa	3.00	Number of po	Birr	Imported from Kenya; KSH 90000/10000 pcs; Currency ETB 1 = KSH 3
Shoot bag for selfing of F4 rows	Melkasa	0.27	Number of po	Birr	ETB 134/kg; 500 shoot bags/kg
Paper clips for selfing of F4 rows per pollination	Melkasa	0.20	Number of po	Bim	ETB 200/pack

# Set-up: Labour unit cost



Labour Unit Cost	Location	Value	Experiment dimension	Permanei Labour	Defined Term Labour	Casual Labour	Unit	Comment	
Seed preparation per row: seed counting and packeting	Melkasa	1.95	Total number	1.76	0.00	0.19	Birr	420 rows/day with a team of 1 researcher, 1	1 FA and 1 casual
Planting per m2	All Locations	1.18	Land area	0.67	0.00	0.51	Birr	560 rows/day (includes layout, NPS applica	ation, planting etc) with a tea
Manual cultivation per m2	All Locations	0.34	Land area	0.05	0.00	0.29	Birr	70 rows of 4 m length (5.25 m with alleys)/d	ay with a team of 1 FA and 1
Thinning per m2	All Locations	0.24	Land area	0.11	0.00	0.14	Birr	300 rows of 4 m length (5.25 m with alleys)/	day with a team of 1 FA and
Weeding per m2	All Locations		ontingn	an mlat			:fforont t	aaka	ay with a team of 1 FA and
Earthing-up per m2	All Locations	Eg. PI	anting po	er plot	in tri	ais: a	merent t	dSKS	y with a team of 1 FA and 1
Fertilizer (urea) application per m2	All Locations	•	Clearir	ng the <sup>-</sup>	field				ay with a team of 1 FA and
Pollination	Melkasa	•	Makin	σ fiold		.+			asuals are paid double for p
Data collection per 2-row plot: Scoring (aspects and diseases)	All Locations	•	IVIANII	gneiu	layuu	IL			ay by 2 researchers
Data collection per 2-row plot: Counting (Lodging, HC, NP,NE)	All Locations	•	Applyi	ng fert	ilizer				ay with a team of 1 FA and
Data collection per 2-row plot: plant and ear heights	All Locations	•	Plantir	ισ					ay with a team of 1 FA and
Data collection per 2-row plot: GW and MOI measumment	Melkasa		i lantii	יט י					and 5 casuals; machine she
Harvesting of trials per row	Melkasa	•	Coveri	ng the	seed	with	soil		nsportation of ears to store (
Harvesting of ears per poliination	Melkasa	1.63	Number of po	1.06	0.00	0.57	Birr	Includes labeling, harvesting, dehusking, se	electing; 700 ears/day with a
Seed processing per pollination	Melkasa	0.66	Number of po	0.09	0.00	0.57	Birr	Includes hand shelling, labeling and packeti	ing; 1400 ears/day with a tea
detasseling per row	Melkasa	0.93	Total number	0.13	0.00	0.80	Birr	1000 rows/day (4 plants/min/casual labor) v	with a team of 1 FA and 10 c
Guarding per m2	All Locations	3.60	Land area	0.00	0.00	3.60	Birr	1 day time and 2 night time guards per ha fo	or 5 months (150 days)
Irrigation application per m2	Melkasa	0.08	Land area	0.01	0.00	0.06	Birr	1 FA + 8 casual/ha/day	
Seed packeting for storage per 2-row plot	Melkasa	2.35	Samples	2.35	0.00	0.00	Birr	315 plots/day with a team of 1 researcher a	nd 1 FA
Pollination for selfing of F4 rows	Melkasa	0.66	Number of po	0.48	0.00	0.18	Birr	1800 pollinations/2FAs and 2 casuals/day (	(casuals are paid double for p

### Set-up: Labour unit cost

Labour Unit Cost	Location	Value	Experin dimensi
Seed preparation per row: seed counting and packeting	Melkasa	1.95	Total num
Planting per m2	All Locations	1.18	La Id area
Manual cultivation per m2	All Locations	0.34	Land area
Thinning per m2	All Locations	0.24	Land area
Weeding per m2	All Locations	1.77	Land area
Earthing-up per m2	All Locations	0.79	Land area
Fertilizer (urea) application per m2	All Locations	0.20	Land area
Pollination	Melkasa	0.66	Number o
Data collection per 2-row plot: Scoring (aspects and diseases)	All Locations	1.46	Samples
Data collection per 2-row plot: Counting (Lodging, HC, NP,NE)	All Locations	0.34	Samples
Data collection per 2-row plot: plant and ear heights	All Locations	0.25	Samples
Data collection per 2-row plot: GW and MOI measumment	Melkasa	1.90	Samples
Harvesting of trials per row	Melkasa	2.32	Total num

#### Number Defined Term LabourCostName Rate Unit Period of people Permanent required ~ 80.0 Birr Casual 14.0 Day Birr $\checkmark$ Researcher ✓ 614.0 Day 2.0 Field Assistant ✓ 127.0 Birr Day 2.0 $\sim$ . + The group will perform 2.205.00 activity units in one Dav $\sim$

🖳 Labour costs calculator

Casual  $\overline{}$  $\Box$ Remove labour cost 1.18 Birr cost per activity OK Cancel ▼ 0.32 0.00 2.00 Birr

#### Harvestin **Cost calculation** Seed proc

```
detasselin
Guarding
Irrigation
Seed pac
```

Team = 2 researchers, 2 technicians and 14 casuals Team plants 560 5m-long-rows per day =  $560*5.25*0.75 = 2,205m^2/day$ The total cost of labour = (80\*14) + (614\*2) + (127\*2) = ETB2,602Pollination Cost per activity unit = ETB2,602/2,205 $m^2$  = ETB1.18/ $m^2$ 

800 rows/20 casuals and 2 FAs including transportation of ears to store (. des labeling, harvesting, dehusking, selecting; 700 ears/day with a ... des hand shelling, labeling and packeting; 1400 ears/day with a tea.. rows/day (4 plants/min/casual labor) with a team of 1 FA and 10 c.. time and 2 night time guards per ha for 5 months (150 days) + 8 casual/ha/day

Doc ID

П

х

lots/day with a team of 1 researcher and 1 FA

pollinations/2FAs and 2 casuals/day (casuals are paid double for p.,

# Set-up: Fixed unit cost



Fixed Cost	Location	Value	Labour Cost	t Unit	Comment
Researcher time to prepare fieldscorer file for 1 trial	Melkasa	87.60		Birr	1 hour of researcher's time to prepare fieldbook in BMS and export it to fieldscorer file format
Statistician time to analyze 1 trait	Melkasa	614.00		Birr	1 day of researcher's time to do MET analysis of 1 trait taking data from BMS
Researcher time to prepare harvest label for 1 trial	Melkasa	43.80		Birr	30 minutes of researcher's time (including file preparation and printing)
Researcher time to print packet for 1 trial	Melkasa	43.80		Birr	30 minutes of researcher's time
Researcher time to prepare tag for 1 trial	Melkasa	43.80		Birr	30 minutes of researcher's time (including file preparation and printing)
Wheelbarrow	Melkasa	5.30		Birr	2 wheelbarrows per 5 years for ETB 500/piece to be used across 38 components
Rake	Melkasa	15.80		Birr	5 rakes/annum for ETB 120/piece to be used across 38 components
Shovel	Melkasa	20.80		Birr	12 shovels per 3 years for ETB 198/piece to be used across 38 components
hoe	Melkasa	28.90		Birr	20 hoes per 3 years for ETB 164.45/piece to be used across 38 components
hammer	Melkasa	3.20		Birr	2 hammers per 5 years for ETB 300/piece to be used across 38 components
Machete	Melkasa	4.00		Birr	2 machetes per 3 years for ETB 227/piece to be used across 38 components
Axe	Melkasa	3.20		Birr	2 axes per 5 years for ETB 300/piece to be used across 38 components
Knapsac sprayers	Melkasa	73.70		Birr	3 sprayers per 3 years for ETB 2800/piece for chemical spraying and 2 rust screening activities (across 38 components)
Sickle	Melkasa	13.50		Birr	5 sickles per 2 years for ETB 205/piece to be used across 38 components
A4 photocopy paper	Melkasa	126.30		Birr	20 packets/annum for ETB 240/packet to be used across 38 components
Packet printer cartridge	Melkasa	121.10		Birr	2 cartridges/annum for ETB 2300/packet to be used across 38 components
Marker	Melkasa	18.90		Birr	24 marker/annum for ETB 30/piece to be used across 38 components
Pencil	Melkasa	7.90		Birr	60 pencils/annum for ETB 5/piece to be used across 38 components
Pen	Melkasa	12.80		Birr	60 pens/annum for ETB 8.1/piece to be used across 38 components
Plaster	Melkasa	15.80		Birr	5 paster/annum for ETB 120/piece to be used across 38 components
Tablet	Melkasa	884.20		Birr	6 tablets per 5 years for ETB 28000/piece to be used across 38 components
Stapler	Melkasa	32.90		Birr	5 staplers/annum for ETB 250/piece to be used across 38 components

# Set-up: Activity

#### Activities

	Activity	Location	^
•	Nursery implementation	Melkasa	
	Trial implementation excluding land preparation and harvesting	All Locations	
	Purchase of fixed farm and office supplies	Melkasa	
	Inigation of off-season activities	Melkasa	
	•	Activity         Nursery implementation         Trial implementation excluding land preparation and harvesting         Purchase of fixed farm and office supplies         Imigation of off-season activities	ActivityLocationNursery implementationMelkasaTrial implementation excluding land preparation and harvestingAll LocationsPurchase of fixed farm and office suppliesMelkasaImigation of off-season activitiesMelkasa

Unit Cost Location	Value	Unit	Comment	^
Fertilizer NPS per m2 - All Locations	0.17	Birr	ETB 17	
Fertilizer Urea per m2 - All Locations	0.15	Birr	ETB 15	
Pollen bag per pollination - All Locations	3	Birr	Importe	
Shoot bag (Polyethylene bag) - All Locations	0.27	Birr	ETB 13	
Paper seed packet for seed prep CE 5 101218 (73 mm x 133.4 mm) per plot - All Locations	3	Birr	Importe	
Sticky label Formtec GS-1424 per plot - All Locations	0.17	Birr	ETB 40	
Colored hard paper per plot - All Locations	0.21	Birr	ETB 25	
Cloth bag per plot - All Locations	15	Birr	ETB 75	
Land preparation @ MK with own tractor per m2 - Melkasa	0.5	Birr	ETB 50	
Chemical application per m2 - All Locations	0.25	Birr	ETB 25	
Seed preparation per row: seed counting and packeting - Melkasa	1.95	Birr	420 row	
Planting per m2 - All Locations	1.18	Birr	560 row	
Manual cultivation per m2 - All Locations	0.34	Birr	70 rows	v

### Set-up: Activity

#### Activities

	Activity				
►	Nursery implementation	Melkasa			
	Trial implementation excluding land preparation and harvesting	All Locations			
	Purchase of fixed farm and office supplies	Melkasa			
	Inigation of off-season activities	Melkasa			

Unit Cost Location	Value	Unit	Comment	۸
Fertilizer NPS per m2 - All Locations	0.17	Birr	ETB 17	
Fertilizer Urea per m2 - All Locations	0.15	Birr	ETB 15	
Paper seed packet for seed prep CE 5 101218 (73 mm x 133.4 mm) per plot - All Locations	3	Birr	Importe	1
Colored hard paper per plot - All Locations	0.21	Birr	ETB 25	1
Cloth bag per plot - All Locations	15	Birr	ETB 75	
Chemical application per m2 - All Locations	0.25	Birr	ETB 25	1
Seed preparation per row: seed counting and packeting - Melkasa	1.95	Birr	420 row	
Planting per m2 - All Locations	1.18	Birr	560 row	
Manual cultivation per m2 - All Locations	0.34	Birr	70 rows	1
Thinning per m2 - All Locations	0.24	Birr	300 row	
Weeding per m2 - All Locations	1.77	Birr	400 row	
Earthing-up per m2 - All Locations	0.79	Birr	30 rows	
Fertilizer (urea) application per m2 - All Locations	0.199176954732	Birr	120 row	¥



### **Experimental dimensions for each component**



Doc ID



Project component	^
Breeding Cross Formation	
F1 rows	
F2 rows	
F3 rows	
TC Formation Stage I with F4 selfing and pathology	
OVT Site 1	
OVT Site 2	
OVT Site 3	
F5 rows	
Test Cross Formation Stage II	
PVT Site 1	
PVT Site 2	
PVT Site 3	
PVT Site 4	
PVT Site 5	
PVT Site 6	
F6 rows	
F7 rows with fingerprinting	
AVT Site 1	
AVT Site 2	
AVT Site 3	
AVT Site 4	
AVT Site 5	
AVT Site 6	
AVT Site 7	
AVT Site 8	
AVT Site 9	
AVT Site 10	Ļ

Experiment Dimensions	-	Value	Unit
Entries	1	4	Number
Replicates	1	l	Number
Samples	1	14	Number
Plot length	4	1.25	m
Rows per plot	3	3	Number
Row spacing	0	).75	m
Buffer percentage	1		Percent
Land area	1	35.21	m2
Row length	3	3	m
Number of crosses	1	4	Number
Number of pollinations	3 7	70	Number
Total number of rows	4	12	Number

21

# Activities for each component

AVT Site 10



Project component	Ac	ctivity		Location	Non	Permanent	Casual	Total				
Breeding Cross Formation	Numero inclusione to the	-			1 200 FF	Labour	1.020.25	2 750 02				
F1 rows	Nursery implementation at M	lelkasa		Melkasa	1,288.55	434.11	1,036.25	2,758.92				
F2 rows	Purchase of fixed farm and o	office suppli	ies at Melkasa	Melkasa	3,980.90			3,980.90				
F3 rows												
TC Formation Stage I with F4 selfing and pathology												
OVT Site 1												
OVT Site 2												
OVT Site 3												
F5 rows	Activity unit cost	S										
Test Cross Formation Stage II	Experiment	~			Link and a				~			C
PVT Site 1	Dimension	^			Unit Costs				<u>^</u>	#	=	Cost
PVT Site 2	Land area	х			(	Chemical applic	ation per m2	at All Locations	x	1	=	33.80
PVT Site 3	Samples	х		Cloth bag per plot at All Locations					х	1	=	210.00
PVT Site 4	Samples	х		Colored bard paper per plot at All Locations					x	1	=	2.94
PVT Site 5	Land area	×					Dursban per	m2 at Melkasa	x	1	=	16.23
PVT Site 6	Land area	x				Fortilizer	NPS per m2	at All Locations	x	1	=	22.99
F6 rows	Land area	Ŷ				Fortilizor	Uma por m2		Û		_	20.20
F7 rows with fingerprinting	1.			1			orea per m2	at Air Locations	Û		-	20.20
AVT Site 1	Item cost	S		Lan	d preparation	1@ MIK WITH OV	wn tractor per	m2 at Meikasa	Ĉ		=	67.61
AVT Site 2						Paper cli	ps per pollina	tion at Melkasa	x	1	=	14.00
AVT Site 3	Samples	х	Paper seed packet	for seed prep C	E 5 101218 (	73 mm x 133.4	mm) per plot	at All Locations	x	1	=	42.00
AVT Site 4	Number of pollinations	×				Pollen bag p	er pollination	at All Locations	x	1	=	210.00
AVT Site 5	Land area	х		Pre-en	mergence her	bicide (premag	ram gold) per	m2 at Melkasa	х	1	=	20.28
AVT Site 6	Land area	х					Radiant per	m2 at Melkasa	х	1	=	43.27
AVT Site 7	Number of pollinations	×			Sh	noot bag (Polye	thylene bag)	at All Locations	х	1	=	18.90
AVT Site 8												
AVT Site 9									-			

# Activities for each component

AVT Site 9

AVT Site 10



	_												
Project component		Ad	ctivity		Location	Non	Permanent	Casual	Total				
Breeding Cross Formation			-		N	Labour	Labour	Labour	0.750.00				
F1 rows		Nursery implementation at IV	leikasa		Meikasa	1,288.55	434.11	1,036.25	2,758.92				
F2 rows		Purchase of fixed farm and o	office suppli	es at Melkasa	Melkasa	3,980.90		_	3,980.90				
F3 rows													
TC Formation Stage I with F4 selfing and pathology													
OVT Site 1													
OVT Site 2													
OVT Site 3			-										
F5 rows		Activity unit cost	S										
Test Cross Formation Stage II		Experiment	×			Linit costs				x	#	_	Cost
PVT Site 1		Dimension	^			Onic Coata				^	-	-	COSt
PVT Site 2		Land area	х				٦	Forch per m2	at All Locations	х	1	=	1.49
PVT Site 3		Land area	х					Tracer per	m2 at Melkasa	х	1	=	79.77
PVT Site 4		Samples	x			Cu	itting harvest la	bels per plot	at All Locations	х	1	=	0.28
PVT Site 5		Samples	x			Cuttir	- Ig tags and tag	aina per plot	at All Locations	x	1	=	4.90
PVT Site 6		Land area	x				Farthir	natup per m2	at All Locations	x	1	=	106.82
F6 rows		N	Ŷ			Evaluation ar	nd selection in i	nurseries ner	row at Melkasa	x	1	=	46.20
F7 rows with fingerprinting			tc			Evaluation a	er (urea) applic	ation per m2	at All Locations	Ŷ	1	-	26.02
AVT Site 1			515			retuitz	er (urea) applic	auon per mz		Ĵ		-	20.33
AVT Site 2						F	arvesting of ea	ars per pollina	tion at Meikasa	Ĵ		-	114.10
AVT Site 3		Land area	×				Manual cultiv	ation per m2	at All Locations	x	1	=	45.97
AVT Site 4		Land area	х				Pla	nting per m2	at All Locations	х	1	=	159.55
AVT Site 5		Number of pollinations	X					Pollina	tion at Melkasa	×	1	=	46.20
AVT Site 6			х		F	Researcher tir	ne for breeding	plan prepara	tion at Melkasa	x	1	=	233.91
AVT Site 7			х		Resea	archer time to	prepare fieldso	orer file for 1	trial at Melkasa	х	1	=	87.60
AVT Site 8													

# Activities for each component

AVT Site 9

AVT Site 10



Project component	Ad	ctivity		Location	Non	Permanent	Casual	Total				
Breeding Cross Formation					Labour	Labour	Labour	Cost				
F1 rows	Nursery implementation at M	lelkasa		Melkasa	1,288.55	434.11	1,036.25	2,758.92				
F2 rows	 Purchase of fixed farm and o	office suppli	es at Melkasa	Melkasa	3,980.90			3,980.90				
F3 rows												
TC Formation Stage I with F4 selfing and pathology												
OVT Site 1												
OVT Site 2												
OVT Site 3	 A											
F5 rows	Activity unit cost	s										
Test Cross Formation Stage II	Experiment	~			l lait conto				~	#		Cont
PVT Site 1	Dimension	^			Unit Costs				^	#	=	Cost
PVT Site 2	 Land area	х				Pla	nting per m2	at All Locations	х	1	=	159.55
PVT Site 3	Number of pollinations	x					Pollina	tion at Melkasa	x	1	=	46.20
PVT Site 4		x		F	Researcher tir	ne for breeding	plan preparat	tion at Melkasa	x	1	=	233.91
PVT Site 5				Rese	archer time to	nrenare fieldsc	orer file for 1	trial at Melkasa	x	1	=	87.60
PVT Site 6	 Eived cost	tc		Poor	archer time to		at label for 1	trial at Molkana	Ŷ		-	42.00
F6 rows	 TINEU LUSI	13		nese	Deserved			uiai at Mellussa	Ĵ		_	43.00
F7 rows with fingerprinting		Ô			Researc	ner time to prep	bare tag for 1	trial at Meikasa	Ĵ		-	43.80
AVT Site 1		×			Research	her time to print	packet for 1	tnal at Melkasa	×	1	=	43.80
AVT Site 2	Samples	X			Seed pac	keting for stora	ge per 2-row	plot at Melkasa	X	1	=	32.93
AVT Site 3	Number of rows	X		Seed prepa	aration per rov	v: seed countin	ig and packet	ting at Melkasa	Х	1	=	81.90
AVT Site 4	Number of pollinations	х				Seed processi	ng per pollina	tion at Melkasa	х	1	=	46.20
AVT Site 5	Land area	×				Thir	nning per m2	at All Locations	х	1	=	32.45
AVT Site 6	Land area	х				Wee	eding per m2	at All Locations	х	1	=	239.32
AVT Site 7	Land area	×				Gua	rding per m2	at All Locations	х	1	=	486.76
AVT Site 8												



Doc ID

#### Projects

Project Name	Non Labour	Undefined Labour	Permanent Labour	Casual Labour	TotalCost	Description
PC3 (Future)	954,836.61	64,491.28	170,625	428,530	1,618,483.95	Early maturing maize hybrid
PC5 (Future)	1,260,543.05	64,008.80	277,231	779,384	2,381,167.52	Intermediate maturing maize hybrid

Currency ETB 1 = \$42.00

**Cost of PC3** = ETB 1,618,483.95 = \$38,535.33

**Cost of PC5** = ETB 2,381,167.52 = \$56,694.46



የኢትዮጵያ የግብርና ምርምር ኢንስቲትዩት Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR)



# How did we benefit from the costing tool?

## Questions answered by the costing tool

- How much does developing a product cost?
- How much does each project component cost?
- What are the most expensive components in the pipeline?
- Which activity unit costs make the largest contribution to the component cost?
- How can we change our operations to be more cost-effective?
- How can we learn from each other to improve operational efficiency?
- How many resources are needed?
- How can we fit in the available budget?

## How much does developing a product cost?

•	Breeding program	n costing tool								—
Set	tup Export R	Reports Tools	About							
Crop	Name: Maize		Proj	ect: F	°C3			Compone	ent: Breed	ling C
Pro	ject overview Proj	jects Experiment	- Dimensions	Activities				•		-
	Projects									
	Project Name	Non Labour	Undefined Labour	Permanent Labour	Casual Labour	TotalCost	Description			
	PC3	954,836.61	64,491.28	170,625	428,530	1,618,483.95	Early maturing maize hybrid			
	PC5	1,260,543.05	64,008.80	277,231	779,384	2,381,167.52	Intermediate maturing maize hybrid		Update all projects	
									Add project Delete project	
									Save project	

It has helped us know how much running the product pipeline costs starting from breeding cross formation up to release of a variety and breeder seed production.

- That means
  - We can evaluate whether the cost is according to the market size (PC3 : PC5 = 40% : 60%)

## How much does each project component cost?



Doc ID

Component	Location	Number Of Sites	Cost Per Site	Total Non Labour	Total Undefined Labour	Total Permanent Labour	Total Casual Labour	Total Cost	Comment
Breeding Cross Formation	Melkasa	1	6,485.02	4,532.17	482.48	434.11	1,036.25	6,485.02	
F1 rows	Melkasa	1	5,320.24	4,235.71	482.48	203.78	398.27	5,320.24	
F2 rows	Melkasa	1	26,689.63	13,957.84	482.48	5,285.11	6,964.20	26,689.63	
F3 rows	Melkasa	1	12,956.84	6,904.13	482.48	1,854.40	3,715.84	12,956.84	
TC Formation Stage I with F4 selfing and pathology	Melkasa	1	134,032.41	82,471.30	9,712.00	18,832.20	23,016.91	134,032.41	
OVT Site 1	Melkasa	1	82,411.30	28,090.44	1,096.48	11,894.24	41,330.14	82,411.30	
OVT Site 2	Dhera	1	90,145.00	36,048.54	1,096.48	11,795.24	41,204.74	90,145.00	
OVT Site 3	Miesso	1	83,040.44	28,625.52	1,096.48	11,585.69	41,732.74	83,040.44	
F5 rows	Melkasa	1	11,126.96	6,976.66	482.48	1,336.53	2,331.29	11,126.96	
Test Cross Formation Stage II	Melkasa	1	44,715.78	20,675.74	482.48	8,935.85	14,621.72	44,715.78	
PVT Site 1	Melkasa	1	41,214.31	15,067.87	1,096.48	5,504.39	19,545.58	41,214.31	
PVT Site 2	Dhera	1	49,172.41	23,025.97	1,096.48	5,504.39	19,545.58	49,172.41	
PVT Site 3	Miesso	1	41,608.29	15,317.10	1,096.48	5,409.14	19,785.58	41,608.29	
PVT Site 4	Mehoni	1	50,208.34	23,917.15	1,096.48	5,409.14	19,785.58	50,208.34	

It has helped us know how much it costs to conduct each nursery and trial. That means

- We know the estimated cost of each activity
- We can send adequate resources esp. budget to **other testing sites**
- We can request appropriate resources for **collaborative activities** with seed companies, CIMMYT and other research centers.



- We received 361 hybrids organized in 8 trials from CIMMYT-Zimbabwe in 2018. Each entry was planted on 2-row-plots in 3 replications at 2 locations. Therefore, they had 2,166 plots in total.
- The approved small grant budget for all the trials was \$7,500 (equivalent to ETB 202,500).
- Using the costing tool, we found that the total cost was ETB 333,831.
- This means the contribution of CIMMYT was 61% while that of Ethiopian government was 39%.

Doc ID

It has helped us **compare different components** and identify the one with the **most expensive cost**. It shows where we should be the **most efficient**.

It is **OVT** among the trials.

The next question is which of those activity unit costs is contributing to the highest cost?



# Which activity unit costs make the largest contribution to the component cost?

It has helped us identify tasks and items that make the largest contribution to the cost of a breeding component.

Eg. **Guarding** is the most expensive cost in OVT. Therefore, **Fencing** of trial fields can reduce the cost considerably.



Activity unit costs for OVT at Dhera

Doc ID

It has helped us be cost-conscious so that we generate new ideas to do some activities

- Do stage I test crossing with two testers in two isolation blocks compared to hand-crossing. In doing so we reduced cost of hand pollination while incurring detasseling cost.
- Superimpose stage I test crossing with F4
   selfing and disease screening.
   In doing so, we reduced cost of land
   preparation, planting, nursery management
   (weeding, manual cultivation etc.), etc. for each
   component separately.



# How can we learn from each other to improve operational efficiency?

It has helped us learn from other programs to think how efficiently we can do each task.

- Eg. Cost of harvesting a trial row
  - At Bako = 2.32 birr
  - At Melkassa = 3.09 birr

What makes the difference in the cost?

 Through-put per unit of labor (57 vs 42 rows/casual/day)

What makes the difference in through-put?

- Operational efficiency
  - Shift work
  - Task specialization







### How many resources are needed?



#### It has helped us plan how many resources (like land, consumables etc.) are needed.

#### **Experiment Dimensions**

Experiment Dimensions	Value	Unit
Entries	1100	Number
Replicates	1.3	Number
Samples	1440	Number
Plot length	5.25	m
Rows per plot	2	Number
Row spacing	0.75	m
Buffer percentage	6	Percent
Land area	12020.4	m2
Row length	4	m
Total number of rows	2880	Number

#### **Experiment Dimensions**

Experiment Dimensions	Value	Unit
Entries	14	Number
Replicates	1	Number
Samples	14	Number
Plot length	4.25	m
Rows per plot	3	Number
Row spacing	0.75	m
Buffer percentage	1	Percent
Land area	135.21	m2
Row length	3	m
Number of crosses	14	Number
Number of pollinations	70	Number
Total number of rows	42	Number

#### Eg. Land for **OVT** = 12020.4m<sup>2</sup> (1.2 ha)

Number of pollen bags needed for breeding cross formation = 70 pollen bags to make 70 pollinations

Doc ID

It has helped us fit our pipeline to available/approved budget to maximize genetic gain per \$.

There are many options:

- Alternative technology
- Smaller population size
- Reduce number of replications
- Reduce number of rows per plot
- Reduce data collection at some locations
- Reduce number of locations
- Postponing some components to the next year, etc.

It has helped us check how much each change can reduce the cost.

#### 🖶 Breeding program costing tool

Export Reports Tools About Setup

Crop Na	me: Maize	$\sim$	Proje	ct: P	C5 (w	vithout DH)	)			Comp	onen	t: S	eed i	incre	ase f	or V	∕т	
Project	overview Projects Experim	ment Dime	ensions Ac	tivities														
>	Permananet Labour		Defined te	rm Labou	r 🗌	Casual Labour												
Co	mponent	Sites	Entries	Reps	Samples	Value			PC5 (w	vithout DH)								
Br	eeding Cross Formation at Me	1	32	1	32	9,666.75	Reload	Save	250000									
	and the line of	1	22	-	22	7.004.20	<b>D</b>		250000-									
F	rows at meikasa		32		32	7,004.36	Reload	Save										
F2	rows at Melkasa	1	22	1	22	53,921.70	Reload	Save	200000-									$\neg$
F3	rows at Melkasa	1	22	1	22	23,709.49	Reload	Save	150000 -						-	-	-	+
Т	Formation Stage Lwith F4 su	1	1100	1	1100	212 063 14	Reload	Sava										
		· · ·		<u> </u>		212,000.11	Heloda	Save	100000 -						-	-	-	$\square$
0	/T Site 1 at Melkasa	1	1100	1.3	1440	175,538.86	Reload	Save										
									50000 -				_		-			-
0	/T Site 2 at Dhera	1	1100	1.3	1440	182,752.56	Reload	Save										
0	/T Site 3 at Miesso	1	1100	1.3	1440	176,680.65	Reload	Save	0-			-						
										Cross	kasa	kasa	kasa	kasa	kasa	hera	esso	kasa
FS	rows at Melkasa	1	165	1	165	16,698.63	Reload	Save		Mel	Mel	Mel	Mel	Mel	Mel	atC	at Mi	Mel
-	the Course Franchise Character		105	-	105	EC 500 01	D.L. I			reed on at	vs at	vs at	vs at	vs at	1 at	ite 2	e 3	1 at
Te	est cross Formation Stage II a		105		160	26,533,31	Reload	Save		natic Bi	1 rov	2 rov	3 rov	2 LOV	Site	Ę	LSit	Site

0

벌

The interactive project overview function has helped us modify the scale of elements of an existing pipeline and conduct "what if" scenarios to explore the consequences of changing particular elements of the breeding activity or project

> Selected Components O Activity Costs for selected component Bar chart O Pie chart

with F4

on Stage I with F4 rology at Melkasa

lation Ikasa

へ Da ( ( d) ) 🗐 ENG

Pel s = st Cros Stage I Crop Name: Maize

Setup Export Reports Tools About

Close

5

#### Component: Seed increase for VVT

Project overview Projects Experiment Dimensions Activities

Project: PC5 (without DH)

Exclude Permananet Labour	Defined ter	m Labour		Casual Labour 🗌														
Component Sites	Entries	Reps Sa	amples	Value			PC5 (v	vithout DH)										
Breeding Cross Formation at Me	1 32	1	32	9,666.75	Reload	Save	250000 -											
F1 rows at Melkasa 1	1 32	1	32	7,004.36	Reload	Save												
F2 rows at Melkasa 1	1 22	1	22	53,921.70	Reload	Save	200000 -											
F3 rows at Melkasa 1	1 22	1	22	23,709.49	Reload	Save	150000 -						-		-			
TC Formation Stage I with F4 s	1 1100	1	1100	212,063.14	Reload	Save	100000 -						_					
OVT Site 1 at Melkasa 1	1 1100	1.3	1440	175,538.86	Reload	Save	50000 -						_		_			
OVT Site 2 at Dhera	1 1100	1.3	1440	182,752.56	Reload	Save												
OVT Site 3 at Miesso 1	1 1100	1.3	1440	176,680.65	Reload	Save	0-	ross Kasa	Kasa-	kasa-	kasa-	kasa-	Kasa-	hera-	esso-	kasa-	h F4 kasa	ation kasa
F5 rows at Melkasa 1	1 165	1	165	16,698.63	Reload	Save		eding C at Mel	at Mel	at Mel	at Mel	at Mel	at Mel	e 2 at D	3 at Mi	at Mel	ige Iwit at Mel	s Form at Mel
Test Cross Formation Stage II a	1 165	1	165	56,599.91	Reload	Save		Bre mation	-1 rows	=2 rows	-3 rows	=5 rows	L Site 1	VT Sit	Л Site	L Site 1	tion Sta thology	st Cros Stage II
What if I redu	ice th	ne nu	umk	per of e	entrie	es f	or the <b>b</b>	nigh	est	COS	stin	g co	om	pon	ent	?	C Forma g and pa	e F
] []					Reload	save											T(	
		Project	Total:	998,562.24	>													
							C	hart by:	Selected	Compon	ents (	) Activity	Costs for	selected c	omponent	۲	Bar chart	O Pie chart
✓ Search				<b>0</b> 밝	0		💼 💼 🥝	w	x	•		5	ç į	<u>ک</u> 😼		1 ^	<u>0</u>	<b>(1)) 🍋 ENG</b>

Export Reports Tools About Setup

Crop Name: Maize

#### Project: PC5 (without DH)

Project overview Projects Experiment Dimensions Activities

>	Exclude Permananet Labour		Defined ter	m Labou	r 🗌	Casual Labour			
	Component	Sites	Entries	Reps	Samples	Value			PC5 (v
	Breeding Cross Formation at Me	1	32	1	32	9,666.75	Reload	Save	200000-
	F1 rows at Melkasa	1	32	1	32	7,004.36	Reload	Save	20000 -
	F2 rows at Melkasa	1	22	1	22	53,921.70	Reload	Save	150000 -
	F3 rows at Melkasa	1	22	1	22	23,709.49	Reload	Save	100000 -
	TC Formation Stage I with F4s	1	700	1	700	179946.46	Reload	Save	100000
	OVT Site 1 at Melkasa	1	1100	1.3	1440	175,538.86	Reload	Save	50000 -
	OVT Site 2 at Dhera	1	1100	1.3	1440	182,752.56	Reload	Save	
	OVT Site 3 at Miesso	1	1100	1.3	1440	176,680.65	Reload	Save	0-
	F5 rows at Melkasa	1	165	1	165	16,698.63	Reload	Save	
	Test Cross Formation Stage II a	1	165	1	165	56,599.91	Reload	Save	
	PVT Site 1 at Melkasa	1	495	1.3	650	83,926.19	Reload	Save	
				Proj	ect Total:	966,445.56	Reload	Save	
									-0

6

w

x

P

S

ککر 🔁

O

片

0

#### Component: Seed increase for VVT



Close

Setup Export Reports Tools About

Crop Name:	Maize
------------	-------

>

-

#### Project: PC5 (without DH)

Project overview Projects Experiment Dimensions Activities

Exclude Permananet Labour		Defined te	erm Labo	ur 🗌	Casual Labour	]														
omponent	Sites	Entries	Reps	Samples	Value			PC5 (	(without D	H)										
Breeding Cross Formation at Me	1	32	1	32	9,666.75	Reload	Save	200000	-											
1 rows at Melkasa	1	32	1	32	7,004.36	Reload	Save										1			
2 rows at Melkasa	1	22	1	22	53,921.70	Reload	Save	150000						+						
-3 rows at Melkasa	1	22	1	22	23,709.49	Reload	Save													
TC Formation Stage I with F4 sı	1	700	1	700	179946.46	Reload	Save	100000						+		-				
OVT Site 1 at Melkasa	1	1100	1.3	1440	175,538.86	Reload	Save	50000 -						+	_			_		
OVT Site 2 at Dhera	1	1100	1.3	1440	182,752.56	Reload	Save													
OVT Site 3 at Miesso	1	1100	1.3	1440	176,680.65	Reload	Save	0-	ss	sa sa	sa sa	-esi	-esi	-esi	era	-05	-sa-	F4 Isa	ion ssa	
5 rows at Melkasa	1	165	1	165	16,698.63	Reload	Save		ling Cro	it Melka	t Melka	t Melka	t Melka	t Melka	2 at Dh	at Mies	t Melka	e I with t Melka	Format it Melka	
Test Cross Formation Stage II a	1	165	1	165	56,599.91	Reload	Save		Breed	nation a	l rows a 2 rows a	3 rows a	5 rows a	Site 1 a	√T Site	T Site 3	Site 1 a	on Stag ology a	t Cross tage II a	
This reduce	es t	he n	um	nber	of ent	ries 1	that	goes to	0 0	VT.	ι ú	Ű.	Ē	QVT	б	8	P	<sup>-</sup> ormati and path	Ц С	
						пеюаа	Jave	0		•							-	TCF fing a		
			Pro	ject Total:	966,445.56	D												se		
								-0	Chart by:	Selection	ted Compo	nents ()	) Activity (	Costs for e	elected or	mpopent		Bar chart	O Pie chart	
									(	e) selec	led Compoi		/ Activity (	LUSIS IONS		sinponent		bar chaft	O Fie chart	
€ Search					O Ħ	O		1		X			5	<u></u>	N		] ^	ĝ <i>(</i> ,	<b>(1</b> 30) 🍋 EN(	G

#### Component: Seed increase for VVT

Setup Export Reports Tools About

Crop	Name:	Maiz
Crop	Name:	Maiz

>

#### Project: PC5 (without DH)

Project overview Projects Experiment Dimensions Activities

Exclude Permananet Labour		Defined te	rm Labou	ır 🗌	Casual Labour												
Component	Sites	Entries	Reps	Samples	Value			PC	C5 (with	out DH)							
Breeding Cross Formation at Me	1	32	1	32	9,666.75	Reload	Save	20000	00								
F1 rows at Melkasa	1	32	1	32	7,004.36	Reload	Save	2000									
F2 rows at Melkasa	1	22	1	22	53,921.70	Reload	Save	15000	00+			_					
F3 rows at Melkasa	1	22	1	22	23,709.49	Reload	Save	10000	0								
TC Formation Stage I with F4 s	1	700	1	700	179946.46	Reload	Save	10000									
OVT Site 1 at Melkasa	1	700	1.3	910	117323.11	Reload	Save	5000	00						+	-	-
OVT Site 2 at Dhera	1	700	1.3	910	124717.01	Reload	Save										
OVT Site 3 at Miesso	1	700	1.3	910	117946.96	Reload	Save		0+	sa	sa	sa	sa	-es	sa	- au	-os
F5 rows at Melkasa	1	165	1	165	16,698.63	Reload	Save			ding Cro at Melka	at Melka	at Melka	at Melka	at Melka	at Melka	2 at Dhe	3 at Mies
Test Cross Formation Stage II a	1	165	1	165	56,599.91	Reload	Save			Bree	-1 rows	-2 rows	-3 rows	-5 rows	Site 1	VT Site	Ω Site 3
What if the	e nu	mbe	er c	of re	ps incr	ease	ed?						Ľ.	Ľ.	5	0	б
						rieload	Jave										
			Proj	ect Total:	791,460.57	>											
									Chart	by: Se	elected C	ompone	nts (	) Activity	Costs for	selected o	omponer

h

w

x

(Je

P

S

Ħ

O

0

#### Component: Seed increase for VVT



Close

9:19 AM

7/05/2021

TC Formation Stage I with F4 selfing and pathology at Melkasa

PVT Site 1 at Melkasa

Ν

Test Cross Formation Stage II at Melkasa

Bar chart 
 Pie chart
 Pie chart

へ 🛱 🌈 🕼 🖬 ENG

About Export Reports Tools

#### ×

#### Croc Trade-offs

- Including more genotypes in a partially-replicated design vs a small number of genotypes in a 2 replicated column-row design
- Increasing entry number at a limited number of sites versus reduced entries at more locations

100000

50000

TC Formation Stage I with F4 st	1	700	1	700	179946.46 Reload Sav	/e
OVT Site 1 at Melkasa	1	700	2	1400	171145.22 Reload Sav	/e
OVT Site 2 at Dhera	1	700	2	1400	178372.52 Reload Sav	/e
OVT Site 3 at Miesso	1	700	2	1400	172247.92 Reload Sav	/e

#### We decided finally to have more genotypes (1100 entries) with partially-replicated design (1.3 replications)!

O



Chart by: Selected Components Bar chart Activity Costs for selected component

F5 rows at Melkasa

d increase for VVT

Close

ΗŽ

Melkasa

pathology

TC Formation selfing and pathol

Stage I -logv at M

1 at Melkasa

Site

OVT Site 3 at Miesso

OVT Site 2 at Dhera

OVT Site 1 at Melkas

lkasa

Mel

Cros

Pie chart

へ Da ( ( d) ) 🗐 ENG

Export Reports Tools About Setup

#### Maize Crop Name:

OVT Site 2

OVT Site 3 F5 rows

#### Project: PC5 (without DH)

Projects Experiment Dimensions Activities Project overview

#### Component: Breeding Cross Formation

Projects														
Project Name	Undefined Labour	abour Permanent Labou		nt Labour Casual Labour		otalCost		Descriptio			Non Labour			
PC3 (without DH)	64,491.28		170,625.59		28,530.47	1,	618,483.95	Early maturing maize hybrid				954,836.61		
PC5 (without DH)	65,339.07 278,2		278,210.99	780,174.68		2,	,389,915.22	Intermediat	Intermediate maturing maize hybrid					Lladata all avaianta
PC5 (DH only)	48,245.00		254,844.13	74	2,994.69	3,	,549,894.35	Intermediat	e maturing m	aize hybrid		2,503,810.53	3	Opdate all projects
PC5 (Conventional + DH)	52,373.88		272,801.66	77	73,033.47	2	,643,712.89	Intermediat	e maturing m	aize hybrid		1,545,503.88	3	Add project
														Delete project
													Ŀ	Save project
Components										Zoom	- <	> -	ł	By default 7 working hours
Component	L	ocation	Number Of Sites	Cost Per Site	Total Non Labour		Total Undefined Labour	Total Permanent Labour	Total Casual Labour	Total Cost	Comment	^	1	per day and 255 working days per year are assumed for labour unit cost calculations.
Breeding Cross Formation	М	elkasa 1		9,666.75	5,	,823.36	482.48	992.27	2,368.64	9,666.75				changed under Setup-
F1 rows	M	elkasa 1		7,004.36	5,	,145.73	482.48	465.79	910.36	7,004.36				>Settings or Tools->Settings.
F2 rows	M	elkasa 1		53,921.70	26,	,490.73	482.48	11,627.25	15,321.24	53,921.70			1	
F3 rows	M	elkasa 1		23,709.49	10,	,972.54	482.48	4,079.67	8,174.80	23,709.49				
TC Formation S	helped u		mpai	re the		ts c	of tw	vo al	tern	ative	breeding	pipel	lin	les

It has helped us compare the costs of two alternative preeding pipelines

 We compared conventional line development vs DH vs both pipelines using the duplicated function for project and project components



Close

鬲



Doc ID

# Thank you